

**REMARK**

Very thanks for the Examiner's suggestion, the applicant re-writes the amended claim 7 incorporated with the limit of original claim 1, which is now readable and reasonable. And the new claims 9-12 based on the original claims 2,3,4,5 to depend on the amended claim 7, even the original claim 6, which is similar to the original claim 1, only a sound emitting device of claim 6 is different from the light emitting device of claim 1, so the claim 6 cancelled and added the feature of it to incorporate with the original claim 7 to form a new claim 13. The original claim 8 incorporated with the limit of claim 7 to form a new claim 14 in the prior amendment on 09/21/05, it may have a larger scope than the allowable amended claim 7, so the applicant decides to cancel the new claim 14 so that the list of claims only from claim 1 to 13, no mention of new claim 14. It is assured that no new matter is added to the new claims.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Since in above discussion, it is apparent that no prior art has the features of the present invention. Furthermore, as we know that no other prior art has features of the present invention. Thus, the present invention is novel and inventive.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectively requested.

Respectfully submitted.

Hsu, Ming-Tay

Dated: 12/22/2005

P.O.Box 166-13, Taipei

Taiwan, R.O.C.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to  
the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No.(571)273-8300) on 12/22/2005

Hsu, Ming-Tay

(Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate)

Hsu, Ming-Tay

(Signature)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY